© Sustainable Human Settlement and Construction Research Centre 2017

ADDRESSING CHALLENGES TO BUILDING INFORMATION MODELLING IMPLEMENTATION IN UK: DESIGNERS' PERSPECTIVES

Abdul-Majeed MAHAMADU¹, Dharshana NAVENDREN ², Patrick MANU², Rotimi JOSEPH² and Krzysztof DZIEKONSKI²

¹Department of Architecture and the Built Environment, Faculty of Environment and Technology, University of the West of England, Bristol, BS16 1QY, UK, PH (+44) -117 -965-6261 Email: abdul.mahamadu@uwe.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Building information modelling (BIM) has been proposed as a technology-enabled process for more efficient and effective management of information in digital and virtual environments. Many challenges, however, exist and undermine its effective implementation within the construction industry. The identification of these challenges is critical to the successful implementation and adoption of BIM, especially in view of many implementation risks. Despite the critical role of the design phase to project delivery and BIM usage, few studies have sought to interrogate the challenges faced by designers and the solutions that are being applied to address them. To address this gap, this study aimed to identify and classify challenges faced by designers with particular focus on proposed solutions for alleviating the identified challenges. Through a qualitative research strategy, semi-structured interviews were used to solicit perspectives of UK design professionals on design profession-specific BIM implementation challenges and solutions. Findings reveal that challenges are mostly organisational and external environmental issues with rather cursory allusion to technological challenges which are widely reported in the literature. The solutions identified for alleviating designers' BIM implementation challenges include earlier input and integration from whole supply chain as well as more support institutional support and facilitation. The promotion of open-BIM standards, tailored insurance as well as principal supplier leadership were also proposed as viable solutions to BIM implementation challenges. Variations in the challenges and proposed solutions appear to differ across different categories of firms investigated in this study, particularly in relation to the cost of implementation.

Keywords: BIM, challenges, designers, qualitative research, solutions, UK

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades the construction industry has been criticised for an

²Department of Architecture and the Built Environment, Faculty of Environment and Technology, University of the West of England, Bristol, BS16 1QY, UK, PH (+44) -117 -965-6261

inability to meet performance targets as a result of fragmentation and information management challenges (Cabinet Office, 2011; Egan, 1998; Latham, 1994). Some of the most referenced performance issues in the construction industry include: lack of cost and time certainty in the delivery process; poor quality; adversarial culture; and badly delegated risks and rewards (Egan, 1998; Latham, 1994). The levels of fragmentation in the construction project delivery process prevent effective communication and collaboration as well as alignment of often diverse interests of project stakeholders. This inhibits effectiveness and efficiency as a result of the inability to streamline the project delivery process into a single well-co-ordinated endeavour (Egan, 1998). In order to achieve such a coordinated delivery process, there is a need for effective information and knowledge sharing, primarily through information and communication technology (ICT) systems. Currently, ICT is being promoted as a key catalyst towards improvements within the construction industry in general (Saxon, 2014; Arayici et al., 2012a). A new technologically-driven process underpinned by virtual communications has emerged, promising to transform information management and communication processes in construction (Arayici et al., 2012a; Eastman et al., 2011; Sebastian and Van Berlo, 2010). This is referred to as Building Information Modelling (BIM), defined as a process for structured sharing and coordinating of digital information about a facility during its entire lifecycle (Eastman et al., 2011).

BIM is regarded as central to the attainment of the UK Government construction strategy and visions (BIS, 2013; Cabinet Office, 2011). This has provided a greater impetus for BIM adoption with the expectation of performance improvements in key areas including cost savings, quality and sustainability. According to the BIM Task Group (2013), the adoption of BIM will lead to a more modern and highly automated construction industry. BIM benefits cannot, however, be realised if the challenges of adoption are not addressed. The wider adoption of BIM is reported as slow within certain segments of the industry as a result of several challenges. This study looks into the challenges to BIM usage and ways of addressing them from the perspective of designers. In the sections that follow, a background literature review covering developments on BIM, its benefits and implementation challenges is presented. The research method adopted for this study is also presented together with a summary of findings, discussions and conclusions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

BIM is a collaborative technology, and thus provides the construction industry with an opportunity for integration. BIM is reported as a viable solution to most of the communication-related inefficiencies associated with the industry (Arayici et al., 2012a; Eastman et al., 2011). Despite being in existence for decades (Van Nederveen and Tolman, 1992), BIM only gained popularity very recently (Eastman et al., 2011). In the UK, BIM is more widely discussed owing to the Government's construction strategy which

mandated BIM level 2 implementation on all government projects since 2016. Government's BIM expectations include the delivery of efficiency, improved carbon performance and significant cost reduction on public projects (BIS, 2013; Cabinet Office, 2011). The realisation of these benefits is, however, being undermined by several barriers. The following sections discuss these issues together with the benefits of BIM.

2.1 BIM benefits

There are several benefits associated with the implementation and use of BIM in a construction project. These include early collaborative decision making, better design clarity, a stronger link between the design and costs, virtual mock-ups and models, improved visual projections and simulations, optimal asset performance, decreased waste, fewer document errors, reduced costs, improved construction outcomes, higher predictability of performance, increased understanding of the entire lifecycle and data sharing between all disciplines from cradle to grave (Bryde et al., 2013; Barlish and Sullivan, 2012; Azhar, 2011; Suermann, 2009). Beyond these are also other benefits that are specific to various project participants. These have been summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: BIM benefits to various project participants

Project participant	BIM benefits					
Clients/Owners	Improved visualisation due to communication of proposals in 3D and					
(Arayici et al., 2012b; Eastman et	4D models					
al., 2011)	Enhanced client requirement capturing due to better communication					
	with design team					
	Better quality of as-built information at handing-over for facilities					
	management					
Designers	Increased clarity in design intent					
(Arayici, et al., 2011; Azhar, 2011)	 Easy testing of design options 					
	 Easily handled and distributable design documentation and 					
	communication across the teams					
	• Informed decision making for optimising sustainability, cost, health					
	and safety objectives					
Quantity surveyors (RICS, 2013;	 Linking construction schedule data to BIM 					
Eastman, et al., 2011; BCIS, 2011)	 Extracting quantities from a BIM model to prepare estimates and 					
	costs for project					
	 Using BIM data to minimise project costs and enhance value for 					
	money					
	Using BIM to keep track of any variations to the contract that may					
	affect costs and create reports to show profitability					
Contractors and subcontractors	 Better quality information for estimation and bidding 					
(Sulankivi et al., 2012; Sebastian,	 Early involvement to contribute to constructability and effective 					

2010; Suermann, 2009;)	scheduling
	Clash-free construction due to ability to simulate before actual
	construction
Manufacturers	Ease of usage of model data for downstream activities (i.e.
(Arayici et al., 2012b; Azhar; 2011)	manufacturing/assembling)
	 Product specification compliance during design stage
	Better coordination and incorporation of product data for operation
	and maintenance
Facilities managers	Enhanced quality of as-built and handing over information and easier
(Arayici et al., 2012b; Azhar; 2011)	integration into computer aided facilities management (CAFM)
	systems
	Easy post-occupancy evaluations for analysis of current use, space
	and energy assessments
	Easier communication of maintenance requirements during design

Despite these benefits, there are several socio-technical challenges which continue to decelerate the industry's ability to maximise the potential of BIM (Arayici et al., 2012a; Bernstein and Pittman, 2005).

2.2 BIM challenges

Many of the challenges contributing to the slow adoption of BIM have been widely reported from various viewpoints. These challenges can be classified as technological, organisational and environmental (Mahamadu et al., 2013). This categorisation is consistent with a technology-organisational-environmental (TOE) framework, which has been previously used in information technology (IT) studies to categorise implementation factors (Tornatzky and Fleischer, 1990). The technology factors refer to technical issues regarding the characteristics and capabilities of the technology (BIM), while organisational factors refer to internal organisational issues (i.e. structure, resources, leadership and people) as well as to the social stimulus of technology adoption (Davies and Harty, 2013; Mahamadu et al., 2013). Finally, the environmental factors refer to all other issues, mainly macro level facilitating conditions such as the industry and market environments provided by governments, professional institutions and software vendors to facilitate ease of BIM implementation (Mahamadu et al., 2013; Sargent et al., 2012).

2.2.1 Technological

Some of the challenges of BIM relate to technical issues, including limitations that emanate from the current state or level of development of BIM-related technologies. In particular, the construction supply chain is increasingly required to increase BIM capacity amidst several competence- and capability-related challenges (Mahamadu et al., 2017). Some of the related challenges include lack of IT resources and network capability to run

BIM applications (Mahamadu et al., 2017; Eastman et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2011). Interoperability of software and systems has also been cited as one of the most prominent technical challenges. This inhibits the effective transfer and sharing of data across diverse proprietary information systems and software amidst a lack of standards and vendorneutral data formats to facilitate this (Gu and London, 2010). There is also significant security risk as well as issues regarding accessibility of the pervasive open virtual environment BIM introduces (Mahamadu et al, 2013; Singh et al., 2011).

2.2.2 Organisational

A high degree of organisational interoperability is required to facilitate effective information sharing as well as mitigating possible legal challenges and disputes (Eastman et al., 2011). Such disputes may, however, be caused by ambiguity about data ownership, copyright and data protection in the common data environment that BIM imposes on project organisations (Azhar, 2011). Some of the other reported BIM challenges include: overcoming the endemic resistance to change; adaptation (from traditional and existing) to new processes; tasks and workflows; and awareness and clear understanding of the responsibilities of different actors in a typical project organisation (Elmualim and Gilder, 2014; Arayici et al., 2012a; Arayici et al., 2011; Eastman et al., 2011). Disputes may also arise as a result of a perceived loss of authority and control over information due to the participation of different stakeholders in the information delivery process (Mahamadu et al., 2013; McAdam, 2010). There is also uncertainty about the costs of BIM implementation and who is best suited to pay for any resultant increases in the project cost (Azhar, 2011).

2.2.3 Environmental

According to Tornatzky and Fleischer (1990), environmental factors in technology implementation refer to the macro-scale influences such as industry and market level facilitators provided by institutions such as government, professional bodies and technology vendors. These facilitating conditions include wider industrial support, promotion and leadership for BIM adoption (Gu and London, 2010). However, several environmental-scale (industry) challenges are still affecting the BIM implementation process. According to Fischer and Kunz (2006), the lack of promotion of standardised guidelines, protocols and other forms of implementation support impedes successful adoption. There are several industry initiatives that have been promoted in the UK, including the publication of a series of Publicly Available Specifications (PAS-1192:2-5) and the Construction Operations Building Information Exchange (COBie) standard (Waterhouse et al., 2014; RICS, 2013). However, it remains unclear whether these have alleviated the challenges of implementation within the mostly small and medium-sized design practices (Lam et al., 2016). Furthermore, there remains a lack of clarity on the contractual and legal position of BIM issues given evolving procurement and legal

structures (Eadie et al., 2015; McAdam, 2010).

From the above discussions, it is clear that BIM implementation challenges have been a subject of considerable attention. However, most of the studies have reported on BIM implementation challenges without an in-depth focus on a specific profession or phase of the construction process. Beyond that, most studies reporting challenges have often not deliberated adequately the solutions to the challenges identified.

2.3 Solutions to BIM challenges

Similar to the BIM challenges, the solutions that have been proposed in the literature for addressing challenges could also be similarly categorised under technological, organisational, and environmental (TOE).

2.3.1 Technological

Technology is a main driver behind the BIM process and organisations need to address related issues in order to successfully maximise the benefits of BIM (Sawhney et al., 2014). There needs to be investment in technological advancements through the necessary hardware, software and network systems so that that there is a high level of interoperability (Eastman et al., 2011; Gu and London, 2010). A BIM business strategy should not only include these technical changes, but also the administrative, functional and operational changes to support the new technology artefacts, infrastructure and processes (Khosrowshahi and Arayici, 2012).

2.3.2 Organizational

A clear vision within organisations implementing BIM is essential in preventing waste of resources (Autodesk, 2012). There is therefore a need for careful supervision of implementation in order to minimise resistance to change (Sackey, 2013). Dinesen (2010) suggests that the principal consideration when implementing change within an organisation should be people rather than the technology. The construction team must work collaboratively and share information transparently to tackle organisational and cultural change associated with new technology implementation (Sackey, 2013). This is often viewed as the most difficult aspect of technology implementation within organisations. Glennon et al. (2014) suggest that open communication is key to change in culture. Burnes (2009) proposes management mechanisms for employees to become aware of company performance, competitors and legal requirements or they are unlikely to recognise the need for change. Sackey (2013) states that a combination of both top-down levels of support and bottom-up involvement should be developed and followed to establish feasibility, targets in budget, timelines and a clear BIM implementation plan.

2.3.3 Environmental

BIM uptake has consistently been on the rise in the UK since 2011 (Waterhouse et al., 2014). Some have attributed this to the high level of government promotion of BIM, including the clear mandate for universal adoption of public projects from 2016 (Cabinet Office, 2011). Others are of the view that many of the implementation challenges will be alleviated by the free availability of standards, protocols and templates of technical documents, including Employers Information Requirements (EIR), BIM Execution Plans (BEP), contracts (e.g. CIC protocol) and PAS documents (PAS1192:2, 2013; RICS 2013; Mahamadu et al., 2017). RICS (2013) and NBS National BIM reports (Waterhouse et al., 2014) highlight that there has been a change in attitude towards BIM in the industry as the condition of being BIM literate is now becoming a sought-after competency. There is an increase in the number of courses available in educational institutions aimed at developing capacity of individuals and organisations' BIM proficiency (Underwood and Ayoade, 2015).

2.4 Towards interrogating profession-specific challenges and solutions of BIM implementation

Whereas the BIM benefits for various construction professions have been widely reported (see Table 1), not many studies have focused on the challenges specific to these professions. A few studies such as BCIS (2011) and Williams (2013) provide some insights from the perspective of facilities managers, quantity surveyors and building surveyors. There is a need for sustained contextual exploration of profession-specific challenges and apposite solutions given differences in industrial norms and environmental settings within which these construction professions operate. According to Davies and Harty (2013), the individual disposition of each discipline may affect their attitude towards change, invariably including BIM adoption. From survey evidence (see the NBS National BIM Report, 2014), the technological readiness and skills and competencies of various disciplines differ and thus may affect the type of challenges they face in their bid to implement BIM. This further affects the approaches needed for overcoming the challenges each profession faces in their BIM implementation.

2.5 The need to explore challenges and solutions from designers' perspectives

In exploring profession-specific challenges and solutions regarding BIM implementation, it is worth interrogating these from the perspective of designers. The most important project decisions are made during the design stage. According to Uher and Loosemore (2004), decisions made at this stage often have significant impacts on the subsequent stages of a project. Furthermore, decisions made during the design phase often influence the attainment of project objectives including cost, construction waste, and health and safety (Manu et al., 2014; Manu et al., 2012; Osmani et al., 2008; Ekanayake and Ofori, 2004). This highlights the importance of designers in the efforts

towards facilitating BIM implementation in general. Furthermore, design practices are regarded as among the early adopters of BIM in the UK, making any studies within this sector worthwhile (see the NBS National BIM Report, 2014). The need for exploring BIM implementation challenges and solutions among designers cannot be overemphasised since their adoption of BIM is crucial to BIM success in the industry in general. Therefore, this research investigated the challenges faced by designers (i.e. design firms) in the implementation of BIM and, most importantly, outlines solutions to these challenges from the perspective of design firms currently implementing BIM in UK.

3. METHODOLOGY

The research aim was to explore BIM implementation challenges and solutions from the perspective of design firms. Qualitative research was deemed the most appropriate for exploring these issues. Qualitative methods are regarded as appropriate for investigating issues in-depth in order to identify peculiarities from the perspectives of individuals with knowledge of the phenomenom (Hartmann et al., 2009). According to Adriaanse (2007), the over-reliance on quantitative and positivist perspectives for technology adoption research is not adequate for deeper exploration. Thus, qualitative research methods are regarded as more appropriate, particularly in view of the 'novelty' of BIM as a concept. More recently, several studies are employing qualitative approaches in order to explore more detailed perspectives of information technology adoption based on experience of early adoption (e.g. Wilde, 2015; Harty, 2012; Shen and Issa, 2010; Adriaanse, 2007). These (qualitative) approaches are better positioned to aid inductive development of theory and conceptual propositions for further exploration (Hartman et al., 2009). For this study, qualitative interviews (semi-structured) were used to collect data from design firms.

The interviews were designed to probe their perceptions, attitudes and experiences related to challenges faced in implementing BIM as well as the solutions being deployed or proposed for addressing the challenges. Invitations were sent to 60 design firms operating within the London area of the UK, requesting the participation of firms. This was due to the need to give consideration to the efficient use of resources in this research and also considering the fact that in the UK, London is one of the regions with the highest construction activity (see Office of National Statistic [ONS], 2015), the choice of London was justifiable. Out of the 60 design firms, 10 firms participated in the data collection process. The profile of the firms and the interviewees are shown in Table 2. All interviewees occupied leadership roles in the BIM implementation programmes of the participating organisations. The interviews were audio-recorded and subsequently transcribed and cross-checked. The transcripts were read and re-read iteratively and coded with the aid of QSR NVivo 10 leading to the generation of themes.

Table 2: Profile of participating design firms

Firms	Type of design firm	Size of firm *	Years of BIM usage experience	Role of interviewee with firm		
A	Engineering Design	Large	7 years	Structural CAD technician		
В	Architectural and Engineering Design	Large	7 years	Digital Design representative		
C	Transport Systems	Large	None	Project engineer		
D	Architectural	Large	9 years	Applications Administrator and BIM Manager		
E	Architectural	Small	1 year	Architect		
F	Engineering Services, Facilities and Energy Management	Large	12 years	Engineering and Energy Director		
G	Architectural	Large	None	CAD and Design Manager		
Н	Architectural	Large	2 years	BIM Manager		
I	Architectural and Interior Design	Medium	0.5 years	BIM Manager and Design Team leader		
J	Architectural	Small	1 year	Architect		

*Firm size: micro < 10, small < 50 employees, medium < 250 employees, and large ≥ 250 employees (European Commission, 2005).

As shown in Table 2, the firms included architectural and engineering design firms. The firms vary in size and they also have varying years of experience of BIM usage. These variations enriched the data in terms of providing the opportunity to explore differences in the perceptions or experiences of BIM challenges and ways of addressing these challenges across a spectrum of firm types

4. RESEARCH ANALYSIS FINDINGS

The qualitative data was carefully coded for subsequent thematic analysis. The data analysis resulted in the classification of challenges and their solutions in seven key thematic areas. The themes for the challenges and solutions are then categorised in relation to the Technology-Organisational-Environmental (TOE) framework which was used for secondary coding of data.

4.1 Thematic areas of BIM challenges and solutions

The emerging themes for the challenges and solutions were: BIM-specific (i.e. relating to the inherent characteristics of BIM technologies, including software and infrastructure issues); Design-specific (i.e. relating to design tasks and suitability of BIM for undertaking them); Team-orientated (i.e. relating to teamwork, collaboration and cooperation with other project participants); Project-related (i.e. relating to temporal organisation rhetoric of the construction industry as well as barriers related to the delivery of individual projects rather than business within the firms); Organisational (i.e. relating to operations, structure and work ethic of design organisations); Industry-related (i.e. relating to wider industry conditions, including frameworks for BIM implementation); and lastly, challenges and solutions pertaining to the cost of adopting BIM. The specific

challenges being faced by designers are discussed in these thematic areas before discussing suggested solutions with sample quotations. The challenges and solutions are summarised in Table 3.

4.1.1 BIM-specific challenges and solutions (Theme 1)

There were challenges faced by the designers that are specifically related to BIM as a technology as commented on by one interviewee: "...there is still anxiety generally for people to use it" [Firm J - Architect]. Coordination and interoperability issues continue to hamper effective BIM use as mentioned by an interviewee: "...there are a lot of different disciplines that use different bits of software. The barrier is getting the completely different bits of software to talk to each other effectively" [Firm A - Structural CAD technician].

The consensus on solving the technical BIM specific issues was mainly the need for more investment, industry efforts towards open standards and skill development. There is a high expectation for open standards (i.e. Industry Foundation Classes [IFC], International Framework for Dictionaries Library [IFDs], Model View Definition [MVDs]) at the macro level as opposed to micro level implementation of data exchange protocols.

According to the interviewees, the challenge of upgrading all systems is seen as a long-term investment that reaps many worthwhile benefits, and is explained further by an interviewee's comment that "...a full upgrade is needed and this can be a big overhaul but it will be worth it" [Firm I - BIM Manager].

Table 3: Summary of challenges and solutions in thematic areas

Theme	Challenges				Solutions			
		T	o	E		T	O	E
	1) General anxiety about BIM adoption		√	√	1) Viewing a live project on BIM to see potential benefits and high quality		√	√
	2) The need for a big overhaul of IT systems				work (Live project opportunities and case studies)			
DD4 :	3) Interoperability of different software packages				2) A full upgrade is a positive investment with long-term benefits.	ار		2/
BIM-speci	4) Lack of BIM competent designers with skills to use BIM-			$\sqrt{}$	3) Software packages need to respond by allow for easier coordination.	V		. /
fic	related software				4) BIM modules integrated in university courses so design graduates are			V
					equipped with relevant BIM skills and employing consultants who will train			
					with specific design context			
	1) Basic training is not sufficient		√	√	1) Tailored training with design context		√	
	2) Changing from working on 2D drawings to a 3D		$\sqrt{}$		2) Hiring a BIM consultant with design background		$\sqrt{}$	
	environment				3) Consistent practising of working in a 3D environment makes it easier		$\sqrt{}$	
Design-sp	3) The loss of time and lag in design process with the initial		$\sqrt{}$		4) Initial loss in time should be ignored as this made up in latter stages of a			
ecific	model set-up				project. Increased 3D skills will also help drive more efficient BIM-based		$\sqrt{}$	
	4) Differences in design work and practices not addressed by		$\sqrt{}$		design		$\sqrt{}$	
	training				5) In-house and on-the-job training are cost effective and derive greater			
					value			
	1) Lack of client understanding for providing BIM model			$\sqrt{}$	1) Client groups and initiative from clients to push standards and specify			$\sqrt{}$
	requirements				requirements with clarity			
Team-orie	2) Limited involvement of Facilities Management in early				2) Collaborating with Facilities Management in early stages when setting up		$\sqrt{}$	
ntated	project phases		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	the BIM execution plan			
mucu	3) Lack of integration from supply chain	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	3) Supply chain will have more involvement when other disciplines are on		$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark
					board with BIM adoption			
					4) Principal supplier (e.g. client, main contractor or lead consultant)			$\sqrt{}$

				leadership		
	1) Uncertainty of procurement routes for implementing BIM		$\sqrt{}$	1) Looking at previous exemplary projects that have adopted BIM and hiring	$\sqrt{}$	1
Project-re	2) Insurance to cover collaborative working practices,			specialists to provide guidance		
lated	overlaps and sharing of risks and responsibilities		$\sqrt{}$	2) Insurers need to adapt to cover new legal risks brought about by		1
				collaborative working on BIM		
	1) Changing the way people work in an organisation	√		1) Setting up a BIM working group for people to direct their questions	√	7
Organisat	2) Changing the way firms do business and adaptation to the			towards and receive answers		
ional	new process		$\sqrt{}$	2) Setting up a BIM/digital design group that addresses issues, creates	$\sqrt{}$	
				documentation, provides guidance and gains consistency with BIM work		
	1) Deliverables have not changed from a contractual		√	1) The industry needs to respond according to BIM requirements by		
	perspective			adapting contract deliverables		
	2) Standardisation is difficult for multinational companies			2) Multinational companies can form their own standards that suit their way	$\sqrt{}$	
Industry-	owing to different requirements in different countries			of working. Plus, stakeholder engagement also helps with such a large		
Related	3) Lack of adequate feedback on projects that have used BIM		$\sqrt{}$	transition	$\sqrt{}$	
Kciaicu	4) Government publications do not provide enough			3) Attending information seminars and lectures, although more project		
	information to aid successful implementation within design			feedback need to be publicly released		
	firms			4) The government and professional bodies need to address this by updating		
				publications or releasing new ones that provide more detail and guidance		
	1) Costs related to BIM include: software, hardware, hiring	√		1) The initial investment cannot be reduced: however, choosing to invest in	√	
	new employees with BIM competence, hiring a BIM			BIM leads to numerous savings in the long term and other benefits that will		
BIM Cost	consultant to train existing employees. The cost of reduced			give the company future opportunities and an advantageous position in the		
	employee productivity and the learning curve is difficult to			industry.		
	quantify.					
	TOE - Code Frequency		 11	TOE - Code Frequency	15	12

The need for broad industry commitment to open standards is highlighted by the quote: "As there are more and more companies using these pieces of software, there is a need for them to be coordinated. The people that make the software have to respond to the market otherwise people will just use something else" [Firm A - Structural CAD technician].

There is a clear need for BIM proficiency and skills development within design practices with designers favouring the use of BIM consultants with architectural (design) backgrounds: "...having consultants who have an architectural background would be of great help" [Firm B - Digital Design Representative] to aid BIM implementation.

4.1.2 Design-specific challenges and solutions (Theme 2)

One of the critical design-specific challenges identified is the loss of time and lag in the design process resulting from setting up the BIM model initially and passing it between different team members. Some participants expressed the view that the design team needed considerably more time to build up the model with much more information upfront. Furthermore, a challenge regarding training not addressing the specific needs of 3D and information-oriented BIM design workflows also emerged as shown in the quote: "Different practices, different CAD standards, different ways of producing and displaying drawings - therefore we tend to stay away from going to training companies as they only give a blanket overview of what the software can do" [Firm A - Structural CAD technician].

Basic training to accommodate the necessary process redesign was thus viewed as a key issue as highlighted in interviewees' comments: "...the standard training is for three days and in that time, you learn basic things." "It is a big difference working from 2D drawings to 3D environment, I personally think even with that basic training, you would struggle to produce information for a project" [Firm J - Architect].

Participants recommended employing consultants who deliver training in the context that suits the design professional's expectations as shown in the quote: "...a BIM consultant that is very much in demand if he comes from an architect's background" [Firm H - BIM Manager]. Findings also support the reliance on informal networks to enhance knowledge and skill acquisition in addition to formal education and training. Participants from larger companies are more inclined towards in-house and on-the-job training as a cost-effective means. According to interviewees, the challenge of designing in 3D environments can be addressed through practice and learning. Participants suggested that as designers become accustomed to working in a 3D environment, BIM becomes easier to use. The loss of time and lag in the design process may be frustrating, yet this investment in effort and time in the early stages reaps benefits in later stages of the project as highlighted by an interviewee: "...early design phase and schematics is a bit slow but that time is then made up later on" [Firm A - Structural CAD technician].

4.1.3 Team-orientated challenges and solutions (Theme 3)

The challenges reported included the lack of understanding by clients regarding their requirements for BIM, problems with facilities management, and supply chain congruence on the manner in which to engage with BIM. Interviewees noted that

"...clients need to be further educated on BIM so that they know what to expect but currently they don't understand enough" [Firm D - Applications Administrator and BIM manager]. A participant agreed that "...the facilities management side of BIM is not that well understood at the moment" [Firm J - Architect] and designers are yet to fully comprehend the role of COBie, PAS1192:4 and Government Soft Landings (GSL) issues. According to one interviewee: "There is certainly a lack of understanding of how FM and BIM work together from a designers perspective" [Architect], giving credence to the perception of making designing more difficult and less creative as a result of the need to review too many pieces of information. Another challenge is the lack of integration of the supply chain. Some manufacturers are not ready to provide BIM objects for use by designers in the UK because they are from parts of the world where they are not required to be BIM compliant. Thus some manufacturers are not convinced that investing in BIM for the UK market will be a worthwhile investment, making their involvement as part of an integrated supply chain difficult. One of the interviewees delved further into this as highlighted in quote: "...the UK is a fairly small proportion of their (manufacturers) business, therefore for them to invest in UK-centric BIM (objects/libraries) would not add value to them" [Firm F - Engineering and Energy Director]. This is indicative of the existence of commercial imperatives and industrial norms that impede the effective integration of some aspects of the supply chain in order to leverage the integrative and communication capabilities of BIM.

The following views, as shown in Table 4, were recommendations by interviewees to overcome the team-orientated challenges.

Table 4: Quotes regarding solutions for team-orientated challenges

Role of	Type of	Size of	BIM usage	
interviewee	design firm	firm*	experience	Quote
Architect	Architectural	G 11	1	"It helps when the rest of the disciplines are
	(J)	Small	1 year	on board"
		"Integration from facility management		
Engineering and	Engineering	Large	12 years	upfront in the design stage and when you are
Energy Director	Services (F)			setting up the plan for BIM is beneficial"
	Architectural (D)	Large	9 years	"The standards out there need to be pushed by
A1' 4'				the client. The client must specify their
Applications				requirements and that would take all the
Administrator and				ambiguity out of it. The information delivered
BIM Manager				and issued needs to be checked against these
				specified standards strictly."

As shown in Table 4, the proposed solutions generally relate to the need for greater integration from the whole supply chain, manufacturers and facilities management; educating clients with regard to BIM via client groups; collaborating with facilities management from early stages of a project to achieve integration; and incentivisation of the supply chain for integration with less emphasis of contractual issues. In relation to the supplier integration suggestions, interviewees were also of the opinion

that principal suppliers (e.g. main contractors) must provide leadership and support to the rest of the supply chain through implementation guidance and provision of training and other resources.

4.1.4 Project-related challenges and solutions (Theme 4)

The project-related challenges that surfaced from the interviews are insurance and uncertainty of the chosen route to implement BIM through existing project procurement strategies. This is highlighted by the following participant's comment:

"Intellectual property, who owns the risks and responsibilities, can be difficult to

determine due to the level of sharing on BIM. We find ourselves outside our level

of insurance at times just because the insurance hasn't adapted to the new ways

by which people are having to work". [Firm I - BIM Manager]

To address the uncertainty regarding appropriate procurement arrangements for BIM projects, interviewees were of the opinion that this can be solved by understudying happenings on previous projects that have adopted BIM. Additionally, experts and external assistants are usually brought in to help in designing project structures: "...we contact specialists outside of the office to get some input" [Firm D - Applications Administrator and BIM Manager]. Interview participants recommended that the challenge regarding insurance and liabilities can only be addressed by the insurers' development of new types of cover that reflect professional indemnity risks imposed by BIM. This is highlighted in the following quote: "Assistance from the insurers is definitely needed...We need the insurers to adapt the cover so that we are able to insure ourselves whilst working on BIM" [Firm I - BIM Manager].

4.1.5 Organizational challenges and solutions (Theme 5)

Authors are not advised to use more than three levels of subsections' nesting. The use of too many nesting levels will reduce clarity and may be confusing for the readers of the article. According to the participant firms, adopting BIM affects the systems, structure and working ethos of an organisation. Changing the way people work when an organisation adopts BIM is a challenge as exemplified in the following comment: "...getting people to work in a different way is tough: people tend to work in a certain way and that works for them and they are quite happy to keep doing that so to come out of their comfort zone and do something different is difficult to break through" [Firm A - Structural CAD technician]. Further comments show that variations in the approaches to work within different segments of the same company also pose significant challenges: "There are several branches of the company that are all trying to implement BIM in the best way. Everyone is using different software packages, different management structures and separate standards, which in theory all do the same thing" [Firm A - Structural CAD technician].

It was recommended that changes need to be made to the company culture, attitude and methods of planning of the implementation of BIM to make the most of the adoption process. To ensure that people are overcoming the challenge of

changing the way they work with the least amount of struggle, some participant firms have set up their own BIM working group [Firms A, B, D, F, H, I and J]. Another participant also describes the benefits gained by setting up a BIM design group across the whole organisation: "...this group addresses issues that come up with BIM and gets consistency with our BIM work. The group analyses the issues and create the documentation and standards, which provide (specific) guidance" [Firm B - Digital Design Representative]. Finally, multinational companies need to evolve inhouse standardisation of processes and other technical requirements to ensure in-house interoperability, not only for systems, but also for intra-organisational interoperability.

4.1.6 Industry-related challenges and solutions (Theme 6)

The view was expressed that project deliverables (i.e. drawings) need to be modified from a contractual perspective and that there is lack of clear universal guidelines and standards for implementing BIM. A participant commented that

"...one of the larger issues for the industry is that the requirements are changing but the deliverables haven't changed from a contractual perspective. Until 2D deliverables are gone or at least refined, we are going to have a lot of problems. Until the system changes, the deliverables change, and it is contractually obligated to use BIM, there will be a challenge". [Firm D - Applications Administrator and BIM Manager]

The latter challenge was even more pronounced among large multinational firms who are under pressure to develop different requirements in the various countries in which they work, which has made it difficult for them to standardise their work procedures. Another challenge brought to light during interviews was the lack of adequate learning feedback from projects on which BIM has been used. It was felt that such feedback is important in improving the understanding of BIM amongst project participants and that it is also important in informing investment decisions regarding BIM. One participant commented that.

"the BS and PAS publications set out the ethos of BIM but do not give you hard and fast rules and regulations as to how the correct BIM system should be achieved. There are some fairly distinct guidelines in there but it could be achieved in 50 different ways to get the same type of result". [Firm A - Structural CAD Technician]

Participants shared their perspective that challenges regarding the industry have to be solved by the industry itself as BIM implementation increases. As shown by Table 5, participants suggested a number of approaches to assist in delivering the necessary change in the industry: taking steps to ensure a more effortless transition, including stakeholder engagement and addressing the lack of feedback about BIM projects by attending informative seminars and lectures. Nevertheless, further information needs to be publicly released or published from case studies. In addition, : existing guidelines and standards require further clarity although it was also acknowledged that they have some usefulness; and the lack of distinct

profession specific guidelines and standards must be addressed by the government and professional bodies.

4.1.7 Cost-related challenges and solutions (Theme 7)

The cost of implementing BIM by the participants' firms was considered to include software cost; hardware cost; training cost; hiring new employees with BIM competence; and hiring external BIM consultants. Participants explained that whilst some of these costs (e.g. software cost) are easy to quantify in monetary terms, costs relating to the process of up-skilling employees are more difficult to estimate. They stressed that it is difficult to quantify the cost relating to the reduction in employees' productivity as they learn to become conversant with BIM in particular.

Table 5: Quotes regarding solutions for industry-related challenges

Role of interviewee	Type of design firm	Size of firm *	BIM usage experience	Quote
Structural CAD technician	Engineering Design (A)	Large	7 years	"We have been doing a year of stakeholder management. All the stakeholders are all for it"
Engineering and Energy Director	Engineering Services (F)	Large	12 years	"A huge amount of effort is still needed from the industry. There are still companies and people in the industry that still think that BIM is a passing phase"
Applications Administrator and BIM Manager	Architectural (D)	Large	9 years	"We have our own standards for the company; the US version and the UK version"
BIM Manager	Architectural (H)	Large	2 years	"There are a lot of seminars that talk about the successes projects have had which is great"
BIM Manager and Design Team Leader	Architectural and Interior Design (I)	Medium	0.5 years	"The standards in the UK need to be more rigid and clearer where you know what the model needs to look like, the detail, the coding of each object, how you name each object and when you will deliver it"

A participant commented that "...the time employees spend training to use BIM can be quantifiable by looking at the daily wage rate but it is also that time that can be applied on design work in a project that is lost. The cost of the learning curve is difficult to quantify" [Firm I -BIM Manager and Design Team Leader]. However, whilst the cost of implementing BIM appeared to be a main concern for the small firms, cost did not seem a prioritised challenge for the large firms.

Interviewees were generally of the opinion that there are significant returns on investment, thus cost should not be viewed as a major challenge. Participants stressed that the initial investment in BIM results in long-term savings and benefits,

including time saved during latter project stages, faster working processes as employees become familiar with working with BIM, more collaborative decision-making processes that improve communication and reduce mistakes/errors, increased opportunity to work on bigger projects, increased interest from graduates to work for the organisation, and enhanced reputation in the industry as the BIM agenda continues. A participant commented that "...the costs of our involvement in BIM is fairly low because the man-hours we use gets charged to individual contracts with individual clients. It is not a significant portion of our capital expenditure" [Firm F - Engineering and Energy Director].

4.1.8 The technological, organizational and environmental dimensions of challenges and solutions

The TOE framework was used as a secondary coding structure for reclassification of the sub-themes (reported challenges and solutions). From the analysis, the most frequently reported challenges are organisational (code frequency of 11) and environmental in nature (code frequency of 11) with technological challenges being the least reported (code frequency of 2) so far as the TOE framework is concerned. Furthermore, most of the solutions proposed by participants for designers implementing BIM were organisational (code frequency of 15) followed by environmental (institutional/industry) (code frequency of 12) level solutions that need to be driven by institutions such as professional bodies, software vendors and government.

5. DICUSSION OF FINDINGS

The findings have highlighted the prevalence of many of the previously reported BIM challenges among designers. However, while most previous studies have reported several technological challenges (Arayici et al., 2012a; Harty, 2012; Newton and Chileshe, 2012), the findings in this study reveal that design professionals are faced with more organisational and environmental issues than technological. Furthermore, most solutions are organisational in nature followed by the need for enabling external environment. Very few of solutions proposed were technological in nature, indicating possible lower levels of organisational maturity as compared to maturity of existing BIM technology. Furthermore, this aligns with Sackey (2013) and Adriaanse,'s (2007) view that BIM is a socio-technical system which requires a soft technology deterministic view (where the focus must be on the people and organisational process facilitators) rather than very hard technical systems view.

There is continued existence of anxiety which is attributed to the perceived complexity of BIM as previously reported in other studies (Harty, 2012; Newton and Chileshe, 2012). The findings also contribute to the discussions around interoperability through recommendation of the need for macro scale open standards (i.e. IFC, IFDs, MVDs) development (see Harty, 2012). While these are generally regarded as future level 3 BIM requirements (PAS1192:2, 2013), the findings are indicative of an immediate concern among designers for the evolution of these standards. Furthermore, designers support macro-level open-BIM standard interventions to alleviate technical challenges as opposed to micro-level adoption of standards, a solution which is also promoted for a more localised resolution of interoperability problems (Yousefzadeh et al., 2015). The academic curriculum

changes following the UK Construction Strategy (2011) were expected to deliver more BIM ready graduates going into the design firms from UK educational institutions (Underwood and Ayoade, 2015). However, the findings highlight a lack of BIM readiness among graduates. This study further highlights the need for specific and basic training to accommodate the necessary process re-design within design practice as opposed to the generic focus and cursory reliance on training in software such as Autodesk Revit (Underwood and Ayoade, 2015). Similarly, Newton and Chileshe (2012) recommend tailored training to overcome skill issues. Findings are indicative of a preference for in-house and on-the-job training as a cost-effective means of acquiring tailored skills for design professionals.

The findings are consistent with literature regarding supplier integration through BIM (Gu and London, 2010). The findings also support the notion that principal suppliers (e.g. main contractors) must provide leadership and support to the rest of the supplier chain through implementation guidance, training and BIM promotion groups as proposed in current BIM strategy documents (i.e. BIS, 2013; Cabinet Office, 2011).

The Government has provided significant leadership and promotion of BIM through frameworks, protocols and guidance documents (NBS, 2012; Cabinet Office, 2011). The UK is, therefore, generally regarded as a leader owing to the availability of several protocols. While the study acknowledges the importance of these protocols, it further highlights the vagueness and lack of specificity which is making their use challenging, especially for smaller firms with less BIM experience. Given the fact that this study focused on designers, it can be inferred that existing protocols are not suitable for the current workflow adopted by designers for executing BIM and thus are limited in guiding firms through the process re-design required and similarly acknowledged in the literature (Elmualim and Gilder, 2014; Arayici et al., 2011; 2012a;). These studies have not, however, highlighted the role of Governmentdeveloped protocols in supporting designers' process re-engineering for BIM in the UK context. The findings in this study, however, highlight the role of Government and professional bodies (e.g. RIBA) in helping designers to understand the process re-engineering required through regular updated publications or release of new ones that provide more tailored solutions. The study therefore highlights the inadequacy of BIM protocols and standards which have been specifically developed for designers and this must be considered in future development of policy.

6. CONCLUSION

This study has explored BIM adoption and implementation challenges with a particular focus on designers' perceptions of the most appropriate solutions. Key challenges include: cost of deployment, especially in the case of small design firms; changes to existing ways/processes of designing; process lag and loss of time due to the creation of the BIM model and passing it among other project participants; lack of understanding by clients and resultant poor definition of BIM requirements; lack of learning and feedback; issues of interoperability; lack of supply chain integration; and lack of clear and specific guidelines and standards.

The findings also highlight ways of addressing the significant barriers associated with BIM implementation as becoming familiar with working directly in a BIM-enabled 3D environment; working collaboratively; further training; employing

external parties and consultants with design backgrounds; setting up company BIM working groups; adjustments of company culture and working processes; formulating company standards to provide consistency; obtaining earlier input and integration from whole supply chain; maximising the use of BIM client groups; modifying insurance to include collaborative BIM work; ensuring more support from software companies and standards institutions with regard to open standards; obtaining more information provided by the UK Government and professional bodies, especially updating protocols to suit various professions, and establishing tailored BIM education for the process changes associated with 3D modelling and BIM design.

This study provides further understanding on the subject of BIM for designers in particular by highlighting a unique categorisation of challenges and their solutions. Whilst some of these challenges share similarity with challenges reported in previous studies, the profession-specific (i.e. designers) focus given by this study provides understanding from a new perspective, highlighting the need for focus on organisational solutions as well as facilitating conditions provided at the macro implementation level. Future research should explore challenges and solutions for non-design professions in order to draw parallels. Furthermore, longitudinal studies should be conducted to assess the efficacy of the solutions proffered as well as investigating the phenomenon within geographic jurisdictions which were not covered in this study.

7. REFERENCES

- Adriaanse A (2007). The use of interorganisational ICT in construction projects: a critical perspective. PhD thesis, University of Twente, The Netherlands.
- Arayici Y et al. (2011). Technology adoption in the BIM implementation for lean architectural practice. Automation in Construction. 20(1):189–195.
- Arayici Y et al. (2012a). Building information modelling (BIM) implementation and remote construction projects: Issues, challenges and critiques. Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon). 17:75-92.
- Arayici, Y et al.. (2012b). Building information modelling (BIM) for facilities management (FM): the Mediacity case study approach. International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling. 1(1): 55-73.
- Autodesk (2012). A framework for implementing a BIM business transformation (Adobe Digital Editions version). Autodesk. Available online at: http://static-dc.autodesk.net/content/dam/autodesk/www/solutions/building-infor mationmodeling/get-started/autodesk-project-transformer-whitepaper.pdf [Accessed 10 September 2015].
- Azhar S (2011). Building information modelling (BIM): trends, benefits, risks, and challenges for the AEC industry. Leadership and Management in Engineering. 11(3): 241-252.
- Barlish K, Sullivan K (2012). How to measure the benefits of BIM a case study approach. Automation in Construction. 24(0):149-159

- Bernstein PG, Pittman JH (2005). Barriers to the adoption of building information modelling in the building industry. CA: Autodesk Inc. (Adobe Digital Editions version). Available online at: http://academics.triton.edu/faculty/fheitzman/Barriers%20to%20the%20Adoption%20of%20BIM%20in%20the%20Building%20Industry.pdf [Accessed 12 January 2013].
- Bryde D et al. (2013). The project benefits of building information modelling (BIM). International Journal of Project Management. 31(7): 971-980.
- Building Cost Information Service (BCIS). (2011). Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) 2011 Building information modelling (BIM) survey report. London: BCIS.
- Building Information Modelling (BIM) Task Group (2013). Welcome to the BIM task group website. Available online at: http://www.bimtaskgroup.org/ [Accessed 01 March 2015].
- Burnes B (2009). Managing change. (5th ed.). Essex: Pearson Education.
- Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS). (2013). Industrial strategy: Government and industry in partnership: Construction 2025. Report number: URN BIS/13/955.London, U.K: Department for Business, Innovation and Skills.
- Davies R, Harty C (2013). Measurement and exploration of individual beliefs about the consequences of building information modelling use. Construction Management and Economics. 31(11): 1110-1127.
- Dinesen B (2010). Investing in BIM competence. Available online at: http://www.buildingsmart.org.uk/investing-in-bim-confidence/view [Accessed 11 September 2015].
- Eadie R et al. (2015). An investigation into the legal issues relating to building information modelling (BIM). The Construction, Building and Real Estate Research Conference of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS COBRA) / The Australasian Universities' Building Educators Association Conference (AUBEA), 8-10 July 2015. Sydney, Australia.
- Eastman C et al. (2011). BIM handbook: a guide to building information modelling for owners, managers, designers, engineers and contractors. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Egan J (1998). Rethinking construction: the report of the Construction Task Force. London: HMSO.
- Ekanayake LL, Ofori G (2004). Building waste assessment score: design-based tool. Building and Environment. 39(7): 851–861.
- Elmualim A, Gilder J (2014). BIM: innovation in design management, influence and challenges of implementation. Architectural Engineering and Design Management. 10:3-4, 183-199, DOI: 10.1080/17452007.2013.821399
- European Commission (2005). The new SME definition. Available online at: http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/policies/sme/facts-figures-analysis/sme-definition/. [Accessed 01 June2013].

- Fischer M, Kunz J (2006). The scope and role of information technology in construction. (Adobe Digital Editions version). Available online at: http://cife.stanford.edu/online.publications/TR156.pdf [Accessed 24 March 2013].
- Glennon D et al. (2014). The route to BIM in 10 steps. Available online at: http://www.building.co.uk/the-route-to-bim-in-10-steps/5049305.article [Accessed 23 September 2015].
- Gu N, London K (2010). Understanding and facilitating BIM adoption in the AEC. Automation in Construction. 19(8): 988-999.
- Hartmann T et al. (2009). Implementing information systems with project teams using ethnographic-Action research. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 23(1): 57-67.
- Harty J (2012). The impact of digitalisation on the management role of architectural technology. PhD thesis, Robert Gordon University. Available online at: http://openair.rgu.ac.uk [Accessed 21 April 2013].
- Jacobsson M, Linderoth C J H (2010). The influence of contextual elements, actors' frames of reference, and technology on the adoption and use of ICT in construction projects: a Swedish case study. Construction Management and Economics, 28(1): 13-23.
- Khosrowshahi F, Arayici Y (2012). Roadmap for implementation of BIM in the UK construction industry. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management. Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing.
- Lam T et al. (2016) A framework to assist in the analysis of risks and rewards of adopting BIM for SMES in the UK. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management. ISSN 1392-3730. Available online at: http://eprints.uwe.ac.uk/30337
- Latham M (1994). Constructing the eam. London: HMSO.
- Mahamadu A et al. (2013). Challenges to digital collaborative exchange for sustainable project delivery through building information modelling technologies. In: Zubir S S, Brebbia C A (Eds.). Proceedings of 8th International Conference on Urban Regeneration and Sustainability, Putrajaya, Malaysia, 2013, pp. 547-557.
- Mahamadu A et al. (2017) Critical BIM qualification criteria for construction prequalification and selection. Architectural Engineering and Design Management. DOI: 10.1080/17452007.2017.1296812.
- Manu P et al. (2012). Investigating the multi-causal and complex nature of the accident causal influence of construction project features. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 64(2012): 126-133.
- Manu P et al. (2014). The health and safety impact of construction project features. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management. 21(1): 65-93.
- Mathieson K, Keil M (1998). Beyond the interface: ease of use and task/technology fit. Information and Management 34(4): 221-230.
- McAdam B (2010). The UK legal context for building information modelling. In: Barrett P et al. (Eds.). Proceedings W113 Special Track 18th CIB World Building Congress, Salford, United Kingdom, 2010: 269-286.

- McGraw-Hill Construction (2010). SmartMarket Report. The business value of BIM in Europe getting building information modelling to the bottom line in the United Kingdom, France and Germany. McGraw-Hill Construction. (Adobe Digital Editions version). Available online at: http://images.autodesk.com/adsk/files/business value of bim in europe smr final.pdf [Accessed 30 August 2013].
- Mileham A R et al.., (1992). Conceptual cost information as an aid to the designer. In: Proceedings of 7th Annual Conference of the OMAUK, 1992-01-01, UMIST.
- NBS (2012). National BIM report. BIM task group. (Adobe Digital Editions version). Available online at: http://www.bimtaskgroup.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/NBS-NationalBIMRe port12.pdf [Accessed 19 April 2012].
- Newton K L, Chileshe N (2012). Awareness, usage and benefits of BIM adoption the case of South Australian construction organizations. In: Smith SD (Ed.). Proceedings of 28th Annual ARCOM Conference, Edinburgh, UK, 2012, pp. 3–12.
- Osmani M et al. (2008). Architects' perspectives on construction waste reduction by design. Waste Management. 28(7):1147–1158.
- Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (RICS). (2013). *BCIS on BIM*. Retrieved from http://www.rics.org/uk/knowledge/bcis/about-bcis/bcis-onbim/ [Accessed 15 October 2015].
- Sackey E et al. (2013). BIM implementation: from capability maturity models to implementation strategy. Sustainable Building Conference 2013. Coventry, 3-5 July. Leicestershire, Loughborough University. pp.196-207.
- Sawhney A et al. (2014). International BIM implementation guide: RICS guidance note. London: RICS.
- Saxon R (2014). *Two missing pieces in the BIM puzzle*. Building. Available online at: http://www.building.co.uk/two-missing-pieces-in-the-bim-puzzle/5066572.article [Accessed 09 October 2014].
- Sebastian R (2010). Integrated design and engineering using building information modelling: a pilot project of small-scale housing development in The Netherlands. Architectural Engineering and Design Management. 6(2): 103-10.
- Sebastian R, Van Berlo L (2010). Tool for benchmarking BIM performance of design, engineering and construction firms in the Netherlands. Architectural Engineering and Design Management. 6(4): 254-263.
- Shen Z, Issa R R (2010). Quantitative evaluation of the BIM-assisted construction detailed cost estimates. Journal of Information Technology in Construction. 15: 234-257.
- Singh V et al. (2011). A theoretical framework of a BIM-based multi-disciplinary collaboration platform. Automation in Construction. 20(2): 134-144.
- Suermann, PC (2009). Evaluating the impact of building information modeling (BIM) on construction. Doctoral dissertation, University of Florida.

- Sulankivi K et al. (2012). Framework for integrating safety into building information modeling. In: Proceedings of CIB W099 International Conference on "Modelling and Building" Health and Safety", 10-12 September 2012, Singapore.
- Uher, T E, Loosemore M (2004). Essentials of construction project management. Sydney: University of New South Wales.
- Underwood J, Ayoade O (2015). Current position and associated challenges of BIM education in UK higher education. The BIM Academic Forum, 2015.
- United Kingdom. Cabinet Office. (2011). Government construction strategy. London: BIS Report. (Adobe Digital Editions version) Available online at: http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/sites/default/files/resources/Government-Construction-Strategy.pdf [Accessed 23 January 2013].
- United Kingdom. Office for National Statistics (ONS). (2015). Construction statistics 15(2014 ed.). London: ONS.
- Van Nederveen G A, Tolman F P (1992). Modelling multiple views on buildings. Automation in Construction. 1(3): 215-224.
- Waterhouse R. et al. (2014). NBS national BIM report 2014. Newcastle upon Tyne: RIBA Enterprises.
- Wilde D (2015). To analyse how building information modelling (BIM) can diminish change-related delays throughout the construction phase. Unpublished BEng Thesis, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, UK.
- Williams R (2013). Utilising building information modelling for facilities management. Master's dissertation, University College London. United Kingdom.
- Yousefzadeh S et al. (2015). Building information modelling (BIM) software interoperability: a review of the construction sector In: Raidén A B, Aboagye-Nimo E (Eds). In: Proceedings of the 31st Annual ARCOM Conference, 7-9 September 2015, Lincoln, UK, Association of Researchers in Construction Management, pp.711-720.